

The Digital Delusion (2026) by Dr. Jared Cooney Horvath

Planning Summary by Helen Reynolds @itslearningcurve.education

Content	Do This/Remember This
<p>Introduction: Gen Z (1997=2012) <i>cognitively less developed</i> than their parents at the same age/IQ scores have begun to fall but <i>not</i> in countries with <i>traditional teaching</i>. “Beware of adopting tools designed to reshape the world without first reckoning the ultimate cost” (Luddites). <i>Push back</i> = Data that show harm/mechanisms for harm/strategies to offset harm.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>Part 1: THE PROBLEM: 1. Wrapped in Lies: The Five Myths That Built EdTech: Myth #1: Education is Broken (Trad schools are failing and only EdTech can save it, schools kill creativity). <i>Why it matters:</i> Parents can pass that idea to children/easy-fix solutions become attractive but they're risky – need to know the long-term effects Myth #2: Multimedia Enhances Learning (More stimulating = more learning). <i>Why it matters:</i> Children can spend hours on videos but not learn much, entertainment is a <i>red flag</i>. Myth #3: Free Choice Leads to Better Learning (Learners always know what's best for learning). <i>Why it matters:</i> EdTech can <i>promise</i> 'personalized learning' but deliver '<i>customized comfort</i>' = <i>dangerous illusion of learning</i> (which hasn't happened because learning is effortful). Myth #4: Kids Learn Best on Their Own (Increased student (S) autonomy will produce better outcomes) Brains learn through exposure (up to 5yo), then trial & error or (better) guidance. <i>Why it matters:</i> self-directed learning without expert guidance can waste a lot of their time. Myth #5: Intelligent Tutors Make Kids More Intelligent (Adaptive algorithms are more effective than human teachers) Some truth- works in narrow domains with right/wrong answers but <i>transfer is an issue</i>. <i>Why it matters:</i> student learning can become <i>confined to the software</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>2. Proof of Failure: What the Data Really Say About EdTech's Impact on Learning: Technology is <i>not neutral</i>: it reshapes how they think/behavior. Data = 3 international assessments: PISA (Program for International Student Assessment), TIMSS (Trends In Mathematics and Science Study), PIRLS (Progress In Reading Literacy Study). Across all 3: <i>greater computer use</i> correlates with <i>lower attainment</i> (+opposite true), moving <i>tests online lowered scores</i>. Academic research: Meta-analyses combine big data sets giving effect-size (-1 to 1, negative = harm, meaningful >+0.4) BUT can be misleading as <i>no standardized control conditions</i>, average <i>EdTech effect size is +0.29</i>. Problem of mode effect → pen+paper+handwriting shows <i>greater understanding/learning</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>3. Against the Brain: The Three Intractable Problems with EdTech: Mechanism #1: Attention. Switching between tasks loses time, lowers accuracy, makes it harder to remember. <i>What's at Stake: Focused attention is needed for learning</i> but devices designed to distract. Mechanism #2: Empathy. Positive student-teacher <i>relationship</i> effect size of <i>+0.57</i> because it supports <i>understanding</i> + fuels <i>motivation</i>, arises from <i>human interactions</i>. '<i>Physiological synchrony</i>' = matching biological signals between interacting individuals. <i>What's at Stake:</i> Empathy = core driver for learning, <i>cannot be coded</i>, needs real-time interaction Mechanism #3: Transfer. Learning is <i>context-dependent</i>, transfer needs <i>variety/</i> is <i>subtractive</i> or <i>additive</i>, online → <i>real life = additive</i>, real life → online = <i>subtractive</i> (easier) <i>What's at Stake:</i> Education needs to give Ss the ability to transfer; digital learning flattens experience, makes tasks easier, learning harder, and harder to transfer, literate in apps, illiterate in real life.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>4. Addressing the Apologists: How To Respond to Common Arguments from Tech Evangelists: Apology #1: EdTech Has so Much Potential (or Tech is the Future...) Potential is <i>not</i> a basis for policy. Schools should only adopt tech when it has shown <i>clear unequivocal benefits</i>. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Prove it with data! Apology #2: We Need More Time (You Can't Rush Genius). Just give us more time is not acceptable. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Your Dime, Your Time. EdTech has been promising for 60 years, children aren't test subjects, teachers aren't beta testers. Apology #3: Digital Devices Are Ubiquitous (They Ain't Goin' Nowhere). Ubiquity <i>doesn't</i> necessarily <i>justify inclusion</i> in school curriculum, teaching computer skills ≠ teach everything via a screen. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Difference between what we teach and how we teach. Apology #4: Kids Need Digital Skills To Be Competitive (How Will They Get A Job). Even if employability is the goal, chasing digital skills is the <i>wrong strategy</i> – will always be behind. <i>Fighting Back:</i> This Too, Shall Pass, schools aren't meant to train for passing trends. Apology #5: Modern Students Learn Differently (Parents Just Don't Understand). Assertion: Immersion in tech from birth → Ss learn differently → teachers should teach differently = <i>wrong</i>. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Human <i>biology doesn't</i> update with software, we learn by attention, memory, transfer. Apology #6: People Are Using EdTech Incorrectly (It's All Your Fault). Assertion: You're fault/wrong prompts, used correctly they learn more. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Flip it: a good tool should work with in real conditions – only in perfect conditions = unacceptable. Apology #7: Don't Let Your School Get Left Behind (Everybody's Doing It). Fear of <i>missing out drives adoption</i> despite adverse results. <i>Fighting Back:</i> If they say 'keep up', ask 'Keep up with what? Schools exist to <i>educate</i>, not <i>impress</i>. Apology #8: It's Just A Tool (Don't Blame Us...) Usually the last ditch assertion, accurate but misleading. <i>Fighting Back:</i> Tools shape outcomes, always winners and losers, and EdTech is a <i>bad tool</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

<p>Part 2: SPECIAL CASES</p> <p>5. Smartphones: A Special Kind of Bad: Justifications don't add up: getting in touch/shootings. Data on mental health/physical health/ learning show evidence of harm due to #1 Craving #2 Consolidation #3 Cognitive depletion. <i>What to Remember:</i> Dopamine habit loops can be broken, down-time from phones helps learning solidify, breaks allow for resetting</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>6. Artificial Intelligence, Part I: The Tool Nobody Asked For, Solving Problems Nobody Had: Premature excitement declines, data shows evidence of harm because: Mechanism #1 Offloading, #2 Higher-order skills: creativity = focused/diffuse/focused thinking, #3 Identity: conflating AI output with their own , <i>Bottom Line:</i> AI provides detour to cognitive effort, higher-order skills emerge from deep knowledge in LTM, sense of self forged with effort, AI = bypass</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>7. Artificial Intelligence, Part II: The Deeper Threat of AI to Education: How will AI redefine ourselves/abilities/schools? History stages: Tool-using (astrolabe) → technocratic (assembly line) → technopoly (solution in search of a problem). Danger: AI assumed infallible (your fault for using the wrong prompt) because humans flawed and feeble. Danger: Too much information – needs to be well organized for social cohesion, historically done by: e.g.village elders (Tool-using) → school (technocratic) → no one (technopoly). Ideology of AI: 1. Human thought best represented in language which 2. Can be statistically modelled and 3. Only AI can find the hidden patterns of human thought. Danger: erosion of shared reality, fragmentation of truth, disarray leaving opening for tech companies to claim they have the solution – the very tech causing the chaos.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>Part 3: PUSHING BACK</p> <p>8. What Can We Do? Parents and Children: Parents: <u>At school:</u> 1. Form a Coalition, establish principles (phone free socialization after school, no phones till HS) 2. Ally With Teachers: If parents lead, teachers will follow 3. Request Opt-in/Opt-Out: Just because it's 'easier' to use tech doesn't make it right 4. Demand Evidence Not Anecdotes: ...either research or internal data, ask: <i>'What specific problem is this tool solving in this school?' 'How will the tool improve learning, not just logistics?' 'Why is this the best available solution?'</i> 5. Avoid 'Digital Citizenship' curricula: Little evidence such programs change long-term behavior; focus on real world interactions/conflict resolution/etiquette <u>At home:</u> 6. Do a Tech Audit: Track tech type + use over a week for children AND YOU (what messages are you sending?). 7. Buy a printer: The medium matters, handwriting matters 8. Implement Tech-Free Weekends: Plan alternative activities for a tech-free Sunday 9. Ban AI From The House (At Least For School Work): Remind Ss that if that makes it harder, that's good. 10. Put It To The Test: Track schoolwork/focus/mood Children/students: 1. Scrap the screen Use paper 2. Choose Active Recall Over Passive Review Quiz yourself 3. Set a Timer and Go All In Avoid multitasking 4. Do a Little Bit Every Night Frequency beats quantity 5. What About Music? Music without lyrics/overfamiliar is fine.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>9. What Can We Do? Teachers and Education: <u>In class:</u> choosing a screen aways ask: <i>Is it Deliberate? Is it Time-bound? Is it Justified?</i> 1. Audit the Screen Know what you're doing 2. Recall, Don't Offload Turn off autocorrect/simple search/multiple-choice quizzes 3. Stretch the Context Semantic memories (indep of time/place) more useable than episodic memories (tied to time and place) 4. Find the Story Behind the Facts Stories are cognitively privileged 5. Offer Analog Alternatives Analog materials can be more intentional 6. Embrace Handwritten Notes Enough said! 7. Let Students See Real Progress Learning logs of active recall 8. Designate One Tech-Free Period Each Week Creates space for deeper, more focused lessons 9. Create Space for Slow Thinking Build moments of stillness 10. Avoid Artificial Intelligence It's a tool for expert offloading not novice learning + we need to do the slow thinking for planning/assessing. <u>Beyond the classroom:</u> 11. Reconsider Homework Alternatives Short, sharp analog, 12. Foundations Over Tech Focus on learning not mastering tools that will disappear, we don't need to love screens.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>10. What Can We Do? Schools and Leaders: Reclaim schools for learning. Organizing principles: Start with younger Ss + start small. Phase 1: Stabilize and Assess by Pause New Tech Adoption for 12 Months/Ban Data Harvesting By Default (collecting data through 'personalized learning platforms')/ Perform a Tech Audit/Conduct a Cost-Benefit Analysis/Create a Tech-Use Task Force (for procurement and use, guided by cognitive science) Phase 2: Begin the Journey by Demand Data (from independent sources about proven efficacy, +monitoring)/Isolate Tech to a Lab or Cart (Reintroduce friction)/Formalize an Opt-Out Choice for Families/Give Teachers a No-Tech Veto/Recenter the Teacher (celebrate them) Phase 3: Shift the Culture by Implement a Bell-To-Bell Smartphone Ban/Introduce Tech-Free Days/Host an Annual "Analog Week" (e.g. Health/ Science/Connection Week)/Cap Device Use Time (do this after other principles established)/ Make Long-Form Reading a Core School Value (e.g. daily reading block).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>Outro: Battlefield of incursion of technology affecting the quality of people's lives has shifted from factories to schools, we can reclaim education as deeply meaningful and human endeavor.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •